

Sinclair Broadcasting's decision to force their stations to air an anti-Kerry documentary days before the election is a clear example of the dangers of media consolidation - something many of have worried about for the past many years.

Why should Sinclair, who uses the public airwaves free of charge, be able to air what are basically political ads. They are obligated by law to serve the public interest.

Sinclair's actions show why we need to strengthen media ownership rules, not weaken them. They show why the license renewal process needs to involve more than a returned postcard. Thank you.